

**Before :Shri. P A. Jagdale,
Officer on Special Duty,
With Testamentary Department
Date 13th August, 2024**

FOR COMPLIANCE:

85. TP/2403/2024 P. C. Ms. Riya Pednekar i/b Malvi Ranchodas & Co. Ld. Advocate
[Original] : for the Petitioner
(ECHCBM0222274
2023)

1) This is a petition for grant of Letters of Administration, under the Provisions of Indian Succession Act, 1925 (for short "IS Act") for the properties left by the deceased, namely Andrew Ephifany Rapose aka Andrew Anthony Rapose (For short "Said deceased"). The petitioners, namely (1) Lalita Andrew Rapose, (2) Reuben Anthony Andrew Rapose, have filed documents.

2) I have heard Ld. Advocate. I perused the documents, such as true copy of the death certificate of the deceased, identity proof of the deceased, oath in the prescribed format, affidavit of service citation.

3) Said deceased died as a Married on 22/09/2022 at Mumbai leaving behind him legal heirs, shown in the paragraph No. 4 of the petition. Petitioners state that there are no other legal heirs to the deceased, except heirs shown in the petition. Deceased left properties shown in the schedule.

4) Citation was issued, which has been duly affixed on the conspicuous part of the Hon'ble High Court and notice board of the Collector's Office at Mumbai and 14 days have been expired vide Rule 397(1) and (4) of the Bombay High Court(O.S.) Rules, 1980(for short "BHC Rules") and an affidavit to that effect has been filed. Notice to collector has been issued vide Rule 396 of BHC Rules. Till today, no one appeared to resist the claim of the petitioner or nothing is brought to the notice regarding pending litigation pertaining to the same properties. Hence, the petition is treated as uncontested one.

5) In view of the Order, passed in the TP No. 2918/2023,

the all legal heirs of the deceased are petitioners, and therefore they are exempted from the furnishing the surety Bond.

6) Ld. Advocate for the petitioners submitted that in view of provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925, the petitioner, being Petitioner No. 1 being widow and the petitioner no. 2 being son of the deceased, are entitled to seek a Letters of Administration. Hence, facts stated by petitioner, on oath, remained unchallenged and required to be accepted. Accordingly, petition deserves to be allowed, as prayed for. Hence, following order:

ORDER

- 1) Petition is allowed and the Letters of Administration be granted to the petitioners for properties, left by the deceased and shown in the schedule, in prescribed format as per the provisions of the Indian Succession Act, 1925.
- 2) The petitioners to file an account as under taken in a Petitioner's Oath within stipulated period.
- 3) Before issuance of the grant, the office to verify that there is no cross Petition is pending or caveat resisting the petition is filed.

13th August, 2024

Officer on Special Duty,
with Testamentary Department