

VRJ

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.4759 OF 2024

VAIBHAV
RAMESH
JADHAV
Digitally signed by
VAIBHAV
RAMESH JADHAV
Date: 2024.10.25
10:31:44 +0530

Raviraj Vikas Takawane

... Petitioner

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Department of Medical
Education & Drugs Department &
Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Zal Andhyarujina, Senior Advocate with Mr. Aman Kacheria, Jahaan Dastur, Pranav Avhad, Darshna Naval and Pradynesh Lokegaonkar i/by Darshna Naval for the petitioner.

Ms. Prachi Tatake, Additional G. P. for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 – State.

Mr. Nimay Dave i/by Mr. Abhijit Devkhile for respondent No.3.

Mr. Dinesh Waghmare, Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya is present.

Mr. Rajeev Nivatkar, Commissioner, Medical Education and Research, Mantralaya is present.

**CORAM : DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ &
AMIT BORKAR, J.**

DATED : OCTOBER 24, 2024

P.C.:

1. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on **4 December 2024.**

2. Learned Additional Government Pleader appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 whereas Mr. Nimay Dave, learned advocate appears and waives service of notice for respondent No.3.

3. Let affidavit-in-reply be filed by the respondents by 20 November 2024, rejoinder-affidavit to which, if any, may be filed by the petitioner by the next date of listing.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has furnished two documents downloaded from the website of respondent No.3, which are taken on record. However, we direct that the said documents shall be filed by the petitioner by means of an affidavit.

5. Learned AGP, on instructions received from Mr. Dinesh Waghmare, Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department and Mr. Rajeev Nivatkar, Commissioner, Medical Education and Research, has submitted that the documents produced before the Court today were not in the knowledge of the respondent Nos.1 and 2, and having examined the said documents, an inquiry shall be conducted and till completion of the inquiry, status quo as it exists shall be maintained. She has further stated that the work order if issued shall not be acted upon.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)