

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3639 OF 2024

G. Chandramouli

... Petitioner

versus

National Textile Corporation Ltd.

... Respondent

Ms. Jane Cox i/b. Mr. Ghanashyam Thombare for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh for the Respondent.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATED : 31 JULY 2024

P.C.:

1. Having heard the learned Counsel for the parties and having expressed our disinclination as regards prayer clauses (a) and (b), the learned Counsel for the Petitioner has only pressed the alternative prayer clause (c).

2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent states that the Petitioner has prayed for this relief without making any representation to the Respondent, and the prayer is being made for the first time in the Court. He submits that if any representation is so made, the same will be considered as per law.

3. In the light of this statement made, learned Counsel for the

Petitioner states that as regards the prayer clause (c), the Petitioner would make a representation to the Respondent. The said representation be decided as per law and the decision be communicated to the Petitioner, preferably within a period of 8 weeks.

4. The Writ Petition is disposed of.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)