

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1112 OF 2024
IN
INCOME TAX APPEAL(IT)(ST) NO. 24886 OF 2022

Pr Commissioner Of Income Tax 2
Versus
Tata Reality And Infrastructure Ltd

...Applicant
...Respondent

Mr. Suresh Kumar a/w Mohinee Chougule for Applicant.
Mr. Rajesh Poojary i/b Mint & Conferers for Respondent.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
ADVAIT M. SETHNA, JJ.

Date: 20 DECEMBER, 2024.

P.C.

1. We have heard Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the Applicant/revenue and Mr. Rajesh Poojary, learned counsel for the respondent.
2. By this application, the applicant has prayed for condonation of delay of 35 days in filing the aforesaid appeal under the provisions of Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961.
3. Mr. Rajesh Poojary, learned counsel for the assessee has vehemently opposed this application..
4. We have perused the averments as made in the application, which make out a sufficient case for the delay to be condoned.
5. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is in the interests of justice that the application is allowed. It is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).
6. Office objections, if any, be removed within a period of eight weeks from today, failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

(ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)