

Ashwini

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2672 OF 2024

Amina Mohammad Ismail Ansari & Ors ...Petitioners

Versus

Slum Rehabilitation Authority & Ors ...Respondents

Mr Tanveer Nizam, *for the Petitioners.*

Mr Jagdish G Aradwad (Reddy), *for Respondent No. 1-SRA.*

Mr Shakeeb Shaikh, *with Vishal Makwana, i/b Diamondwala & Co,*
for Respondent No. 3.

CORAM: M.S. Sonak &
 Kamal Khata, JJ

DATED: 23rd August 2024

ASHWINI
H
GAJAKOSH
Digitally
signed by
ASHWINI
H
GAJAKOSH
Date:
2024.08.23
19:02:20
+0530

PC:-

1. On 9th August 2024 Mr Shakeeb Shaikh made a bold statement before this Court that the 3rd Respondent has deposited an amount of Rs. 6 crores with the SRA.

2. Today, the SRA has filed an Affidavit pointing out that this was a false statement. Mr Reddy submitted that three cheques were issued by the 3rd Respondent out of which two cheques were

dishonoured because the 3rd Respondent stop the payments under the two cheques.

3. Mr Shakeeb Shaikh states that the statement made by him on 9th August 2024 was made on instructions of in-house counsel of the 3rd Respondent. In the above circumstances, we are inclined to issue notice of contempt on the Managing Director of the 3rd Respondent. Mr Shakeeb Shaikh however submits that he does not know name of the Managing Director of the 3rd Respondent.

4. Mr Shakeeb Shaikh agrees to tell us the name of the Managing Director of the 3rd Respondent by 26th August 2024. Accordingly, Registry to issue notice to the Managing Director of the 3rd Respondent to show us as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act should not be taken against him because according to Mr Shakeeb Shaikh the statement made by him about payment of Rs. 6 crores to the SRA was based on instructions.

5. List the matter on 26th August 2024 for directions.

(Kamal Khata, J)

(M.S. Sonak, J)