

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1990 OF 2024
IN
SUIT NO.964 OF 2018

Anand Lodha	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
Shabana Vasudev Navani & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Rahul Kamerkar a/w. Ms. Aparajita R. Jha, Advocates, for the Plaintiff.
Mr. Yash Kataria, Advocate, i/b. S. Mahomedbhai & Co., for the Defendant Nos.5 and 6.
Mr. Aaditya Mapara, Advocate i/b. Dhruve Liladhar & Co., for the Defendant Nos.2 to 4, 8 to 10, 16 to 18, 20, 21, 33 and 34.
Mr. Aditya Dhatrak i/b. Mr. Jeet Gandhi, Advocates, for the Defendant No.13.
Mr. Khushnumah Banerjee, Advocate, i/b. Vidhii Partners, for the Defendant No.30.
Mr. Aakash Kothari, Advocate, i/b. Little & Co., for the Defendant Nos.25, 35 and 36.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED : 26th SEPTEMBER 2024

P. C.:

1. By order dated 30th August 2024 passed in Interim Application No.1990 of 2024, the said Interim Application seeking amendment to plaint has been allowed. Mr. Kamerkar, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant/Plaintiff states that pursuant

to said order amendment is also carried out as well as cost of Rs.25,000/- has also been paid to the High Court Law Library, Mumbai. However, he states that inadvertently, in paragraph No.40 of the Schedule of amendment instead of copy of the Notice of Motion, copy of the Suit is mentioned and proper Exhibit-Z1 also required to be added. He tenders a draft amendment of correct paragraph No.40. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2. Accordingly, leave is granted to the Plaintiff to carry out the amendment in amended plaint in terms of the draft amendment taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Reverification is dispensed with.

3. Needless to clarify that copy of the amended plaint will be served on all the Defendants within a period of two weeks from today.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]

SONALI
MILIND
PATIL

Digitally
signed by
SONALI
MILIND
PATIL
Date:
2024.09.27
09:51:38
+0530