

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 337 OF 2024

Seema Ashok Gavhane (deleted) & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

J. R. Properties LLP & Anr.

...Respondents

Adv. Abhishek Sawant a/w P. Desai i/by M/s. M. P. Vashi & Associates for the
Petitioners.

Adv. Ankit Rajput i/by Rutvij Bhatt for Resolution No.1.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 9TH OCTOBER, 2024

P.C.:-

1. When the matter was called, Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submitted that though the Petition originally had 9 Petitioners, the Respondent-developer has arrived at a settlement with seven Petitioners except Petitioner Nos.6 and 7.

2. Learned Counsel for Respondent-developer submitted that the Respondent-developer was not averse to settle the Petition with Petitioner Nos.6 and 7 provided a reasonable stand.

3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that his client is willing to execute a PAAA Agreement on the same basis that was executed with the other Petitioners.

4. I see no reason why, if this is the case, the Petition cannot be worked out among the parties.

5. Stand over to 16th October, 2024 for filing consent terms.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)