

Sumedh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2386 OF 1991
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 372 OF 2010
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2386 OF 1991
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 254 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2386 OF 1991
WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 372 OF 2010
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2386 OF 1991

Onkarnath Lalchandji Karwa ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

Mr VY Sanglikar, *for the Petitioner.*
Mr PG Lad, *with Sayli Apte, Shreya Shah, for the Respondent-*
MHADA.
Mr Milind More, *Addl GP with Ms Uma Palsuledesai, AGP, for*
the Respondent-State.

SUMEDH
NAMDEO
SONAWANE
Digitally
signed by
SUMEDH
NAMDEO
SONAWANE
Date:
2024.07.29
18:12:19
+0530

**CORAM M.S. Sonak &
Kamal Khata, JJ.**
DATED: 29th July 2024

PC:-

1. Maharashtra Housing and Area Development (“MHADA”) is permitted to file its reply and a copy of which has already been served on learned counsel for the Petitioner.

2. The learned counsel for the parties invited our attention to averments in paragraph No. 2 of this Petition which reads as follows:

“2. This Petition is filed in the interest of general members of the public and in particular the inhabitants and residents of Bombay and Maharashtra State. This Petition relates to inaction and failure on the part of the Respondents to act according to law, justice and fair play and relates also to illegal acts and unfair discrimination and favouritism and usurping of the rights of the Petitioner and other members of the public and citizens of Bombay. The Petitioner submits that if the appropriate reliefs are not forthwith granted, the above wrongful and illegal acts, procedure and practice may be continued and escalate, depriving the innocent and trusting citizens of Maharashtra and Bombay of their just and legitimate rights and fundamental justice by surreptitious manipulations and tactics.”

3. Mr Sanglikar, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that this Petition is in fact in the nature of a Public Interest Litigation. He points out that even chamber summons has been taken out to elaborate on this aspect.

4. Considering the submissions made and the averments made in paragraph No. 2 of this Petition, the Registry to place this matter before the bench taking up Public Interest Litigation.

5. The Registry to do the needful.

(Kamal Khata, J)

(M.S. Sonak, J)