

Sumedh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 919 OF 2024

Subhash Bajirao Yeole & Ors ...Petitioners
Versus
The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai
& Ors ...Respondents

Mr JS Kini, *with Aum Kini i/b Sapna Krsihnappa, for the Petitioner.*
Mr Ritesh Jain, *with Kalpesh A Bandre, for Respondent No. 5.*
Mr Milind More, AGP, *for the Respondent-State.*
Ms Pooja Yadav, *i/b Sunil Sonawane, for the Respondent-BMC.*

CORAM M.S. Karnik &
Kamal Khata, JJ.
DATED: 6th May 2024

PC:-

1. The parties have filed the Consent Terms. Advocate on record for the Petitioners submits that all of them are present in the Court and they have signed the Consent Terms. All the Petitioners are identified by learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners. Respondent No. 5 is present in the Court. Learned counsel appearing for him identifies him. He says that the Consent Terms are duly signed by him. All concerned agree that they will abide by terms mentioned in the Consent Terms.

SUMEDH
NAMDEO
SONAWANE
Digitally
signed by
SUMEDH
NAMDEO
SONAWANE
Date:
2024.05.07
10:05:27
+0530

2. The Petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of Consent Terms. Undertakings are accepted. Parties to abide by the Consent Terms.

3. Needless to mention if the permissions are already applied, the same will be considered expeditiously considering demolition took place in 2018. The Petitioners have vacated their respective tenements in 2016.

4. It is made clear that the Consent Terms have not been signed by the State and the Municipal Corporation. Counsel for Respondent No. 5 submits that Respondent No. 3 landlord has appointed Respondent No. 5 as a developer. It is clarified that Respondent No. 3 and Respondent No. 4 are not signatories to the Consent Terms.

5. Writ Petition is disposed of in view of the Consent Terms.

(Kamal Khata, J)

(M. S. Karnik, J)