

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.236 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

: Decree Holder

Vs.

Kavita Anand Bhagat

: Judgment Debtor.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.240 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

: Decree Holder

Vs.

Najib Hanif Khan

: Judgment Debtor

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.299 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

: Decree Holder

Vs.

Umesh Mohan Mangaonkar

: Judgment Debtor.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.302 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.

: Decree Holder

Vs.

Dinesh Ramesh Mayavanshi

: Judgment Debtor.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.305 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. : Decree Holder
Vs.
Amitraj Nanaprakash Naidu : Judgment Debtor.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.717 OF 2019

Bajaj Finance Ltd. : Claimant.
Vs.
Sanket Parshuram Koli : Respondent.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.867 OF 2019

Edelweiss Retail Finance Limited : Decree Holder
Vs.
Aashiq Steel Industries
Through Proprietor
Aashiq Aabas Yasinali Mookhy & anr. : Judgment Debtor

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.874 OF 2019

Edelweiss Retail Finance Limited : Decree Holder
Vs.
Tejasvi Creation
Through its Proprietor
Mr. Sharad Anant More and ors. : Judgment Debtors.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.900 OF 2019

Bajaj Finance Ltd. : Claimant

Vs.

Dilip Ashok Bhatkar : Respondent.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.944 OF 2019

Edelweiss Retail Finance Limited : Decree Holder

Vs.

Priyanka Fashion

Through its Proprietor

Mr. Hitendra Girdharlal Karia & anr. : Judgment Debtors.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.958 OF 2019

Toyota Financial Services India Ltd. : Decree Holder

Vs.

Waves Travels : Judgment Debtor

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1081 OF 2019

Gati Kintetsu Express Private Limited : Claimant

Vs.

Omnipresent Retail India Pvt. Ltd. : Respondents.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1117 OF 2019

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited : Claimant

Vs.

Ganesh Pansare : Respondent.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1129 OF 2019

Toyota Financial Services India Ltd. : Decree Holder

Vs.

Safar Tour and Travels and anr. : Judgment Debtors.

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1130 OF 2019

Toyota Financial Services India Ltd. : Decree Holder

Vs.

Pelican Services Smart Lease

Through its Proprietor

Chandran S Kulvan : Judgment Debtor

WITH
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.1267 OF 2019

Lokmanya Multipurpose Cooperative
Society Ltd.

: Decree Holder

Vs.

Narendra Ganpat Palande and ors. : Judgment Debtors.

None for the Claimants/Decree Holders.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 08th JANUARY 2024

P.C. :

1. These matters were on board today for dismissal under Rule 329 of the Bombay High Court (Original Side) Rules, 1980 since no steps have been taken by the Applicants for twelve months from the date of filing of the captioned Execution Applications. Rule 329 of Bombay High Court Rules, 1980 provides as follows;

“R.329. Non-prosecution of application for execution.----- When a party does not proceed with the application for execution for a period of twelve months from the date of the filing of application, the Prothonotary and Senior Master shall place the application before the Judge in Chambers for dismissal for want of prosecution. The Judge may pass such orders thereon as he may think fit.”

2. Given that despite the passage of over four years, the Claimants/Decree Holders have not taken any steps to proceed with the Execution Applications. The Execution Applications are thus dismissed in terms of Rule 329 as above.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)