

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL LOK ADALAT  
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2021  
(SERIAL NO. 35)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Shaileshkumar Shambhshiv Lingayat ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 19145 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 112)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Shilpa Suryaprakash Yadav ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 19244 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 113)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Ravi Katari ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 19704 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 114)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Gaurav Mehta ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 22936 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 120)**

|                            |               |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.   | ...Applicant  |
| Vs.                        |               |
| Devendra Ramkishor Rajbhar | ...Respondent |

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 22949 OF 2022**  
**(SERIAL NO. 121)**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. | ...Applicant  |
| Vs.                      |               |
| Sameer Narayan Bane      | ...Respondent |

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 23045 OF 2022**  
**(SERIAL NO. 122)**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. | ...Applicant  |
| Vs.                      |               |
| Roshan Sunder Pardeshi   | ...Respondent |

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 23047 OF 2022**  
**(SERIAL NO. 123)**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. | ...Applicant  |
| Vs.                      |               |
| Ramn Alagaiah Thevar     | ...Respondent |

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 23402 OF 2022**  
**(SERIAL NO. 125)**

|                          |               |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. | ...Applicant  |
| Vs.                      |               |
| Amar Padma Singh         | ...Respondent |

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 23854 OF 2022**  
**(SERIAL NO. 129)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Amit Anant Khade ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 24849 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 130)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Bandla Sumabindu ...Respondent

**EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 24928 OF 2022  
(SERIAL NO. 131)**

Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. ...Applicant  
Vs.  
Nilkanth Anil Shivaji ...Respondent

...  
Ms. Sakshi Pitate i/by Vishal Tambat - Advocate for Applicant/Decree holder  
Ms. Smita Bhosale, Authorized Representative of Applicant

...  
**CORAM : S. M. MODAK J.  
[HEAD OF THE PANEL]**

**V. V. KATHARE  
REGISTRAR (VIGILANCE-II)  
MEMBER**

**S. N. PHAD  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR  
MEMBER**

**DATED : 03<sup>rd</sup> MARCH, 2024**

**P.C.:-**

1. Ms. Smita Bhosale, the Authorized Representative of the decree holder viz. Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited is present. Learned Advocate Ms. Sakshi Pitate i/by Vishal Tambat representing the Applicant is also present.
2. Ms. Smita Bhosale has placed on record the common original Authority Letter duly signed by Mr. Brijesh Singh, Senior Vice President, whereby she has been authorized as a representative on behalf of the decree holder/Applicant and her Identity Card and Aadhar Card for the purpose of identification. The copies of Authority Letter, identity card and Aadhar card are taken on record and marked as 'Annexure-X, Y & Z' respectively in all above proceedings.
3. The learned Advocate on behalf of the Applicant/decreed holder under the instructions of representative submitted that the Applicant-Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited desires to withdraw all above Execution Applications.
4. In view of the same, all above Execution applications stand disposed of as withdrawn.
5. The Decree Holder is at liberty to withdraw the deposited amount, if any.

6. It is submitted that warrant of the attachment is not issued by learned Prothonotary, hence there is no question of the payment of poundage.

7. The Court fees, if any, liable to be refunded, be refunded as per rules.

**[S. N. PHAD]**  
**MEMBER**

**[V. V. KATHARE]**  
**MEMBER**

**[ S. M. MODAK J.]**  
**HEAD OF THE PANEL**