

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4391 of 2024

IN

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 2263 of 2024

in

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1722 OF 2024

with

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 216 of 2015

Ravi Srichand Punjabi

... Applicant/
Appellant

versus

The State of Maharashtra

.... Respondent

Mr. Sohail Ahmed h/f. Mr. Rajendra Rathod, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Prashant P. Jadhav, APP for Respondent No.1-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 05th DECEMBER, 2024.

P.C. :

1. Not on board. Mentioned by way of praecipe.
2. By the said praecipe, learned counsel for the applicant is seeking speaking to the minutes of order dated 28th November 2024. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that in clause (5) of the operative part of the order, this Court had directed that the Investigating Officer of the crime or deputed person on his behalf, to remain present with the applicant while making application for visa. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant would file the application online, hence, the presence of the Investigating Officer or person deputed on his behalf, cannot be secured.

3. Considering the submission of learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP, the said clause be substituted and be corrected as under :

5. The Investigating Officer of the crime or deputed person on his behalf, shall remain present with the applicant at the time of verification of application for visa.

4. The order dated 28th November 2024 be corrected accordingly and corrected order be uploaded on the website.

5. The praecipe stands disposed of.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)