

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3556 OF 2024
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.963 OF 2024**

Vishnu Vijay MandalApplicant
Versus
U.T. of Dadra and Nagar
Haveli and othersRespondents

Mr. Apporv Srivastava, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Aayush Kedia, Advocate a/w. Leepika B. h/f. H.S. Venegavkar,
for the Respondent No.1.
Mr. Prashant P. Jadhav, APP for the Respondent No.3-State.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.

DATE : 18th SEPTEMBER, 2024

P.C. :

1. Learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 states that the Respondent No.2 is not found as to today and the information is that she had gone to her native place in Uttar Pradesh. This is causing delay in serving the Respondent No.2. The Applicant is 21 year old boy and he is in custody. Therefore, in this situation, at this stage, an Advocate can be appointed to represent the interest of the Respondent No.2 and till the next date the

investigating agency can still make an effort to serve the Respondent No.2.

2. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Stand over to 8.10.2024.
- (ii) The Legal Services Authority of this Court shall appoint a suitable Advocate from their Panel to represent the Respondent No.2.
- (iii) The investigating officer shall continue their efforts to serve the Respondent No.2 in the meantime and make a statement to that effect on the next occasion.
- (iv) Stand over to 8.10.2024.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)