

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1847 of 2024

Ishwarsingh S/o. Mansingh Rajput
Adult, Indian Inhabitant, aged about
26 years, R/at. 10, GRD Floor,
Rangwala Market, Mohammed
Shahid Marg, Mumbai – 400 011.

..Applicant

Vs.

1. The State of Maharashtra
through Inspector in charge, Agripada
Police Station, to be served through
Public Prosecutor, High Court (A.S.)
Mumbai.

2. Amit Adani

Age 45 years, Officer attached to Crime
Branch of the State of Chhattisgarh, through
Supela Police Station District Durg,
Chhattisgarh, to be served through
Respondent No.1 as full details are not
known.

..Respondents

Mr. Ashok Saraogi, for the Applicant.

Ms. Rajeshree Newton, APP for the Respondent – State.

Coram : R. N. Laddha, J.

Date : 5 July 2024

P.C.

. Not on board. Taken on board.

2. In this application, the applicant has approached this Court projecting extreme urgency in the matter for the reason that he apprehended arrest in connection with C.R. No.788 of 2023 registered at Supela Police Station, Durg, Chhattisgarh, for the offences punishable under Sections 457, 380 and 431 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is seeking limited relief of protection till he approach the competent Court of jurisdiction for seeking anticipatory bail in connection with the aforesaid crime registered against him.

4. Learned APP pointed out that since the FIR is registered at Supela police station, Durg, Chhattisgarh, the State's response would be limited. The suggestion is to grant relief of transit anticipatory bail with specific conditions, ensuring applicant does not misuse it. The limited relief of protection can be granted to the applicant only to facilitate approaching the competent Court of jurisdiction for seeking anticipatory bail.

5. This Court is not going into the merits of the matter or entitlement of the applicant for grant of anticipatory bail in any manner. Since there is possibility of applicant's arrest in connection with the aforesaid crime, which cannot be said to be

misplaced or imaginary, in the facts and circumstances of the case, it is appropriate to protect the applicant for a limited period to enable him to approach the competent Court of jurisdiction at Chattisgarh. Hence, the following order.

- (i) The applicant is granted protection only for a period of two weeks from today provided the applicant during this period shall not leave the State of Maharashtra except to travel to the State of Chattisgarh for moving such application before the competent Court of jurisdiction.
- (ii) The applicant shall inform the concerned police station of State of Maharashtra about this order passed by the Court.
- (iii) Needless to say that the applicant shall not act in any manner that would be prejudice to the investigation that may be carried out by the concerned police officer in respect of said FIR.

6. The application stands disposed of accordingly.

R. N. Laddha, J.