

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.236 OF 2024

Sushil Ramchandra Tawade & Ors.	 Applicants
versus	
State of Maharashtra	 Respondent

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.381 OF 2024
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.236 OF 2024**

The Maharashtra Mantralaya Cooperative Credit Society	 Intervenor
--	-----------------

IN THE MATTER OF :

Sushil Ramchandra Tawade & Ors.	 Applicants
versus	
State of Maharashtra	 Respondent

.....

- Mr. Akash Kavade, Advocate for Applicant.
- Mr. Vinit A. Kulkarni, APP for the State/Respondent.
- Mr. Harshad Bhadbhade a/w Nikita Mondaniyan a/w Shagufta Patel i/b. Dileep Satale, Advocate for Intervenor.
- IO – PI – Mr. Hande, Marine Drive Police Station.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.
DATE : 13th SEPTEMBER, 2024**

P.C. :

1. In this case, the Applicants are seeking anticipatory bail in connection with C.R.No.270/2023, registered with Marine Drive Police Station, Mumbai. Vide the order dated 29/01/2024, ad-interim relief was granted in favour of the Applicants and

they were directed to attend the concerned police station and cooperate with the investigation.

2. Heard Mr. Akash Kavade, learned counsel for the Applicant, Mr. Harshad Bhadbhade, learned counsel for the Intervenor and Mr. Vinit A. Kulkarni, learned APP for the State.
3. Today, a statement is made by the learned APP on the instructions of the Investigating Officer that the Applicants have attended the police station and have cooperated with the investigation.
4. Learned APP on instructions makes a further statement that out of these Applicants, the investigating agency does not want to arrest the Applicant Nos.2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The investigating agency wants to arrest only the Applicant Nos.1, 8 and 13. The statement is recorded and accepted.
5. In view of this statement, the learned counsel for the Applicants does not press the application for Applicant Nos.2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 12, as they do not have apprehension of

arrest. Therefore, application on their behalf is treated as not pressed.

6. The application shall be considered in respect of Applicant No.1 Sushil Tawade, Applicant No.8 Prakash Dange and the Applicant No.13 Sunil Chavan. Learned APP has already filed affidavit-in-reply. However, a copy is not served on the learned counsel for the Intervenor Mr. Bhadbhade. It is also submitted that the copy of the application itself is not served on the learned counsel for the Intervenor.
7. Learned APP as well as learned counsel for the Applicants shall furnish copies of their respective memos to the learned counsel for the Intervenor.
8. Stand over to 11/10/2024.
9. Ad-interim relief granted in favour of the remaining Applicants shall continue till the next date.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)