

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.3387 OF 2024

Darshan Gorakh Gaikwad ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

 Mr. Narayan Rokade with Vikrant Kadam, Siddharth Ghodke and Harishcandra Jadhav, for the applicant.

Ms. G.P. Mulekar, APP, for the Respondent / State.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR, J.

DATE : 12TH DECEMBER, 2024.

PC:

1. Heard.

2. By this application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure the applicant is seeking bail in Crime No.164 of 2023, registered with Mhasrul Police Station, Nashik, for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 323, 504, 506 r/w. 34 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 7 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act, Section 4/25 of the Arms Act and Section 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

3. Having gone through the charge-sheet and the relevant material collected by the IO during the investigation, it is evident that there is a statement of the victim/injured, who has categorically named

Digitally
signed by
VARSHA
DEEPAK
GAIKWAD
Date:
2024.12.12
19:11:15
+0530

the applicant and also attributed a specific role to the applicant. Out of three injuries, two injuries are grievous in nature and as per the statement of the victim, the author of the grievous injuries, is the applicant. Therefore, at this stage though the learned counsel for the applicant is harping upon the weapon examination report and trying to take advantage of the some certain discrepancies, I do not find this as a fit case for grant of bail.

4. Further, considering the seriousness of the offence and also considering the fact that there are five similar offences registered in past against the applicant, I am not inclined to grant bail.

5. Accordingly, the bail application is rejected.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J)