



show the complicity of the applicant in the alleged offence, cannot be accepted, in view of the fact that the applicant was identified by a witness whose mobile was used by the applicant just before the offence to call the deceased near Bharat Benz Showroom, Tung. Furthermore, there is a statement of the witness who is the owner of the Atila scooter which was used by the co-accused in the present offence to follow the car of the deceased. It has come in the statement of the owner of the Atila scooter that the Atila was handed over to the co-accused at the request of the applicant. The spot of incident was pointed out by the applicant.

4. In the circumstances, though nothing is recovered from the applicant and further in the CCTV footage faces cannot be seen clearly, the above referred incriminating material is sufficient to prima facie show the complicity of the applicant in the alleged offence.

5. The offence is very serious. Thus, in that view of the matter, I do not find the present case as fit case for grant of bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J)