



4. The learned counsel for the applicant states that there is no medical evidence to support the case of the prosecution. On perusal of the statement of the victim recorded under section 164 of Cr.P.C. it can be seen that there are no allegations of penetration. However, she has stated that there was a discharge of semen which was found on the nicker of the victim.

5. Thus, considering the incriminating material available against the applicant and as the offence is very serious, I am not inclined to grant bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

6. Considering the mandate of Section 35 of the POCSO Act, the learned trial Court shall make an endeavour to decide the trial expeditiously.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J)