



space and therefore, such evidence is not reliable. He further submits that there is no progress in the trial. It is further argued that the applicant is in jail from last four years. Accordingly, he prays for grant of bail.

4. Having considered the charge-sheet and the material collected by the IO during the investigation, it is evident that there is sufficient material available on record against the applicant. Bloodstains clothes were recovered from the applicant and there are dying declarations given by the deceased to the witnesses.

5. Thus, considering the seriousness of the offence and the material collected by the IO during the investigation, I am of the opinion that the applicant is not entitled for grant of bail.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant points out that the co-accused has been released on bail. However, considering the role of the co-accused who has been released on bail, it cannot be said that the role of the applicant and the said co-accused is similar.

7. In that view of the matter, principles of parity will not apply to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application is rejected.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J)