

Arjun

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO.798 OF 2024**

Amol @ Bhavdya Deelip Wagh ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Ms. Aprajita Mahto a/w Kalpesh Karkera i/b Mr. Vaibhav Kadam, for the Applicant.

Ms. Savita M. Yadav, APP, for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 27, 2024**

P.C.:

- 1.** At the outset, Ms. Aprajita Mahto, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant states that inadvertently neither the informant nor the victim is made party to the present Bail Application.
- 2.** Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant seeks leave to implead the informant as party-Respondent No.2. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith.
- 3.** Issue notice to newly added Respondent No.2, returnable on 12th March 2024.
- 4.** Hamdast permitted.
- 5.** The Investigating Officer to intimate added Respondent No.2 about the pendency of this Bail Application as well as that she can

engage Advocate of her own choice or she can be provided with an Advocate from the High Court Legal Services Committee, Mumbai.

6. Stand over to **12th March 2024**.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]