

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 678 OF 2024

Rahul Ramnaresh Yadav ...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. Prashant Pandey, a/w, Irfan Unwala, & Dinesh Jadhvani, i/b, W3Legal, for the Applicant.

Ms. Supriya Kak, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Pravin Ahire, API, Waliv Police Station.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 7th May, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. When the Court expressed its disinclination to entertain the prayer for bail, the learned Counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the application.
3. Leave granted as prayed.
4. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been in custody since five years and charge has yet not been framed and there is no progress in the Session Court as the applicant has not been produced before the trial Court. Hence, the trial be expedited.
5. Having regard to the period of incarceration of the applicant and nature of the evidence which the prosecution may adduce, it may be expedient that the trial in Sessions Case no. 112 of 2019

AMOL
PREMNATH
JADHAV

Digitally signed by
AMOL PREMNATH
JADHAV
Date: 2024.05.14
12:46:31 +0530

arising out C. R. No. 0559 of 2019 is expeditiously concluded.

6. The learned Additional Session Judge seized with Sessions Case No. 112 of 2019 is requested to make an endeavour to commence and conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of nine months.

7. In the event, the trial is not concluded, the applicant is at liberty to apply for bail in Court of Sessions.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)