



4. On the other hand, learned APP strongly opposed the application and points out that on the date of incident the victim was of 14 years. Repeatedly she was sexually assaulted by both the accused who are father and son. It is submitted that the victim has given birth to a child. She further submits that there is every possibility that if Applicant is released on bail, he may pressurize the prosecution witnesses or tamper with evidence.

5. Learned counsel for Respondent no.2 victim reiterates the submissions of learned APP and points out the statement of victim recorded u/s.164 of Cr.PC. It is submitted that there is sufficient evidence available against Applicant on record. It is prayed that applicant may not be granted bail.

6. I have considered the charge sheet and material collected by the Investigating Officer, including the DNA report and statement of the victim u/s.164 of Cr.PC. The offence is serious and there is sufficient evidence collected by the Investigating Officer against Applicant to show his involvement in the alleged offence. Though the DNA report shows that the father of the Applicant, co-accused is the biological father of the child, however, other evidence is sufficient to indicate that Applicant has also committed sexual intercourse with victim.

7. In that view of the matter, I am not inclined to grant bail. Accordingly Bail Application is rejected.

(ANIL S.KILOR, J.)