

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1557 OF 2024

Tanmay Pramod Shinde & Ors.

..Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

..Respondents

Mr. Vijaykumar B. Dighe a/w. Vipul Raut i/b. Saurabh Vivek Patil
for Applicants.

Mr. Vinod Chate, APP for State/Respondent.

Ms. S. Y. Sahasrabudhe for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
DR. NEELA GOKHALE, JJ.**

DATE : 16 DECEMBER 2024

PC :

1. The present application is filed for quashing of the F.I.R. registered vide the C.R.No.150 of 2022 registered at Wadala police station, Mumbai, resulting in Case No.559/PW/2022 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 29th Court, Dadar, Mumbai.

2. Heard Mr. Vijaykumar Dighe, learned counsel for the Applicants, Mr. Vinod Chate, learned APP for the State and Ms. Sahasrabudhe, learned counsel for the Respondent No.2.

3. The Respondent No.2 is the first informant. The Applicant No.1 was her husband and the Applicant Nos.2 and 3 are his parents. The F.I.R. lodged by the Respondent No.2 mentions that, she got married with the Applicant No.1 on 21.03.2021. At that time, her parents had spent for the marriage expenses. The F.I.R. mentions that the applicants had put restrictions on her. After the lockdown was imposed she was working from home. At that time, her husband was doubting her character with reference to one of her colleagues. She was illtreated and beaten. Unreasonable restrictions were put on her regarding her clothes etc. The F.I.R. mentions few instances when the demand was made and she was illtreated. There was a common meeting between the families. At that time also, some unreasonable restrictions were imposed on her. Ultimately, she lodged this F.I.R.

4. Subsequently, the parties have amicably settled the matter. The Applicant No.1 and the Respondent No.2 have obtained divorce by mutual consent. The Respondent No.2 has filed her affidavit giving her specific no objection for quashing of the present proceedings. She is present before the Court. She is

identified by her learned counsel. The Respondent No.2 reiterated her stand taken in the affidavit and submitted that she has no objection for quashing of the proceedings. She has received the amount as per her satisfaction.

5. Considering that the dispute between the parties is purely personal in nature and the society at large is not involved, the proceedings can be quashed.

6. Hence, the following order:

O R D E R

- i) The F.I.R. registered vide the C.R.No.150 of 2022 registered at Wadala police station, Mumbai, resulting in Case No.559/PW/2022 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 29th Court, Dadar, Mumbai, are quashed and set aside.
- ii) The Application is disposed of.

(DR. NEELA GOKHALE, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)