

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 78 OF 2024

Shaikh Sadique Isaq Qureshi .. Appellant

Versus

State Of Maharashtra And Anr. .. Respondent

...

Mr. Mihir Desai, Senior Counsel i/b Hasnain Kazi, for the Appellant.

Mr. J. P. Yagnik, A.P.P. for the State/Respondent.

...

**CORAM : BHARATI DANGRE &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATED : 19th NOVEMBER, 2024

P.C:-

1. Mr. Yagnik, the learned A.P.P. has taken us through the material which form part of the charge-sheet to establish the connect of the present Appellant with the co-accused from whom the Vision document of 2047 has been seized and this according to him has taken into consideration by this Court in Criminal Appeal No. 883 of 2023. In addition, he has also placed reliance upon the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of Union of India V/s. Barakathullah etc., reported in 2024 SCC OnLine SC 1019, which also revolve around the UAPA and the role being attributed to the members of the PFI, when it was not banned by the Government of India.

In the material that is placed before Mr. Yagnik has focused his attention to CDRs and we have noted that in the CDRs the phone calls made to the witnesses though referred, the name of the witnesses are kept blank.

We expect Mr. Yagnik to furnish the necessary details to us in a sealed envelope on the next date of hearing.

Re-notify to 11.12.2024.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)