



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

REVISION APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2024

Sushmita Lalchand Yadav

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Anjani Kumar Singh i/by Mrs. Shilpa Prashant Gaikwad	Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. H. J. Dedhia	APP for the Respondent-State
PSI Amol Khade	Uran Police Station

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 26th JULY 2024

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant-accused virtually, also heard learned APP.
2. On the last date, learned APP submitted that he wants to rely upon forensic report about examination of mobile of the Applicant-accused. Today it is submitted that forensic report is received, yet learned APP is not sure whether it is of the mobile of the deceased or

the Applicant-accused. Let that report be filed before the Court seized of the case. They may obtain copy from the concerned Court and they can produce it in this Court, if they desire.

3. Even the forensic expert wants to re-examine the mobile as there is pattern lock. The investigating agency is at liberty to take appropriate steps before the concerned Court.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.

5. Stand over to **23rd August 2024, high on board.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]