

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 2364 OF 2024

Sahil Gilani

.. Petitioner

Versus

1) The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondents

2) Pernia Sahil Gilani Nee Pernia

Moin Qureshi

...

Ms.Fazaa Shroff Garg with Mr.D.V. Deokar, Sachin Pandey i/b
Fazaa Shroff Garg for the petitioner.

Mr.Sahil Gilani, petitioner present.

Mr.Harish Salve, Senior Advocate with Ms.Taubon Irani a/w
Danish Choudhary for respondent no.2.

Pernia Qureshi respondent no.2 present.

Mr.D.J. Haldankar, APP for the State.

CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE &

MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATED : 23rd AUGUST, 2024

(In chamber)

P.C:-

1 In continuation with the previous order passed by us,
today, we are informed by the learned senior counsel Mr.Haresh
Salve, representing the respondent no.2, that the access directed
by this Court was not smooth and some serious issues had
cropped up.

Though the learned counsel for the petitioner dispute this statement, since the previous order was passed by us by consent, and the respondent no.2 is not ready to extend the consent any further, barring the video access which was permitted by us twice in a day, till we hear the petition, the order allegedly passed by consent, is not held to be binding.

We deem it appropriate to list the proceedings for hearing on merits on 3/9/2024 at 10.00 a.m.

In the interregnum, we permit the petitioner to avail the access of the child at the residence of respondent no.2 on Saturdays, keeping the timings of the access flexible as per the convenience and the schedule of the child.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE,J)

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)