

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 552 OF 2024**

Mr. Pratik Dansingani and Ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and another ... Respondents

.....

Ms. Shweta Agrawal for Petitioners
Mr. V.A. Kulkarni, APP for the State.

.....

**CORAM : PRAKASH D. NAIK &
N.R. BORKAR, JJ.**

DATED : 26th FEBRUARY 2024

P.C. :-

1. Not on Board. Papers are produced for speaking to the minutes of the Order dated 21st February, 2024.
2. It is submitted that in the said Order, it is wrongly recorded that the parties have settled the dispute. The submission of the Petitioner is that Memorandum of Understanding is executed between the Petitioners and the Complainant and instead of settling the matter, FIR was lodged.
3. In these circumstances, first line of the Order dated 21st February, 2024 may be deleted and may be substituted following sentence.

“It is submitted that, Memorandum of Understanding executed between the Petitioners and the Complainant and it was decided to settle the matter. However, instead of settling the dispute, the FIR was lodged.”

4. Rest of the order is same.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)