

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.177 OF 2024

Mrs Sangeeta Inderpal Singh Narang @
Mrs Sangeeta Sopan Sutre
Aged: 38 years, Occupation: Advocate
Address: Residing at 809, Anant Niwas,
Milind Nagar, Near Vitthal Mandir,
Birla College Rad Kalyan (W),
Thane - 421 301 ... Applicant/Complainant
v/s.

1) Mr Inderpalsingh Gurbhej Singh Narang
Aged: 37 years, Occupation: Business
Home Address: Residing at, Amar Mahal,
Flat No.2 Plot No.67,
Amarkunj Pestom Sagar
Road No.2 Chembur,
Mumbai-400 089.

Shop Address: Shop No.1 and 2 Meera
Building) Plot No.200 Sector 12
Vashi Navi Mumbai)
District Thane - 400703 ... Respondent/Accused

2) State of Maharashtra
Through (Public Prosecutor)
Through Khadakpada Polcie Station
Barave Village, Khadakpada
Kalyan (West) - 421301 ... Respondent

....

Mr MA Adenwalla, for the Applicant.

Mr CD Mali, APP, for Respondent No.2/State.

Mr Roshan Hule, i/b. RD Suryawanshi, for the Respondent No.1.

WAIS-Vandana S. Sangare, Khadakpada Police Station, Kalyan (West), present.

....

Coram : R.N. Laddha, J.

Date : 12 July 2024

P.C. :

Heard Mr MA Adenwala, the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant; Mr CD Mali, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent No.2/ State and Mr Roshan Hule, the learned Counsel for the respondent No.1.

2. The respondent No.1 was granted pre-arrest bail in CR NO.433 of 2024, registered with Khadakpada Police Station, Thane, for offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 323, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The applicant herein, who is the first informant requested the cancellation of pre-arrest bail for respondent No.1/accused. The ground for this request includes concerns that respondent No.1 threatened the applicant and the witnesses.

4. Upon reviewing the records, it is evident that the learned Sessions Judge granted anticipatory bail to the applicant on 13.05.2024. There is no material to suggest that respondent No.1

engaged in actions that terrorised the applicant and/or the witnesses. Furthermore, at this stage, when the investigation is on the verge of completion, there is no justification for entertaining an application to cancel pre-arrest bail.

5. Accordingly, the present application stands rejected.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)