

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO.648 OF 2009
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.649 OF 2009

Shri.Shantaram Babi Gurav

...Appellant

V/s.

Shri.Mahalaxmi Pavnai Gango
Rawalnath Siddheshwar Seva Vishwastha
Mandal Kokisare and Ors.

...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.6876 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.6875 OF 2024

Mr.Deepak Rajaram Gurav

...Applicant

In the matter between:-

Shri.Shantaram Babi Gurav

...Appellant

V/s.

Shri.Mahalaxmi Pavnai Gango
Rawalnath Siddheshwar Seva Vishwastha
Mandal Kokisare and Ors.

...Respondents

Ms.Pushpa Ganediwala a/w Ms.Anshu Agrawal, Mr.Ankit Rathod:-	Advocates for Applicant in Interim Application No.6875 of 2024 and for Appellant in First Appeal No.649 of 2009.
Mr.A.S.Khandeparkar (Senior Advocate) a/w Mr.Saurabh Mittal and Ms.Apporva Khandeparkar:-	Advocates for Respondent Nos.1 to 11.
Mr.Ashok S. Gawai:-	APP for Respondent Nos.12 & 13.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 12th DECEMBER 2024

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Applicant in two Interim Applications. He is claiming impleadment in place of deceased Shantaram – Appellant. The rejoinder filed by the Applicant is not there in the file. Let, the Applicant to confirm, whether it is filed and if it is not filed, let it be filed earlier to the next date.
2. According to learned Senior Advocate Shri.Khandeparkar, though rejoinder is served on him, the genealogy is not part of that rejoinder. Let, the Applicant to serve him copy of genealogy also.
3. Stand over to **16th January 2025.**
4. The Respondents are permitted to file an Affidavit giving genealogy as per their contention. It be served on other side in advance.
5. There is oral request to amend the Application by incorporating the name of son of Shantaram. It is opposed by learned Senior Advocate Shri.Khandeparkar. If, the Applicant wants to amend the Application, he is at liberty to take appropriate steps.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]