

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2024

Tushar Sampat Mane & Ors.

.. Applicants.

Versus

Mrs. Bhagyashri Tushar Mane

.. Respondent

.....

- Mr. Ramakant D. Patil, i/b. Mr. Shivraj Kunchage, Advocate for Applicants.
- Mr. V.R.Kasle i/b. Mr. Rahul Joshi, Advocate for Respondent

.....

CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 13, 2024

P. C.:

1. Heard Mr. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicants and Mr. Kasle, learned Advocate for Respondent.
2. On 4th September 2024, after hearing Mr. Kunchage, learned Advocate for Applicants following order was passed :

“1. Heard Mr. Kunchage, learned Advocate for Applicants.

2. There are five Applicants before me. Applicant No.1 is husband of the Respondent. Applicant Nos. 2 and 3 are the old retired parents of Applicant No. 1 whereas Applicant Nos. 4 and 5 are the sisters of Applicant No. 1.

3. Respondent has filed a DV Act proceedings in the Civil Court at Aurangabad of which the transfer is sought to the Magistrate Court in Pune. Applicant No. 1 has filed Marriage Petition in the Family Court at Pune, which is pending in the Family Court at Pune. Applicant No. 1 is a Research Fellow whereas Applicant Nos. 4 and 5 are working as a Teacher and Lecturer in Pune.

4. Record shows that Respondent has filed Misc. Civil Application(for short “MCA”) seeking transfer the Marriage Petition from Pune to Aurangabad.

5. *Today, Mr. Kunchage, learned Advocate for the Applicants on being asked about the averment made in the Petition that Respondent's MCA before the Aurangabad Bench is pending, he would state that he shall find out its status. He is directed to place on record the status of the said MCA before me on the next adjourned date. Be that as it may, server copy of this order shall be served on the Respondent by the Advocate for Applicants and file appropriate Affidavit of service of having served the Respondent by any permissible mode of service which shall be filed on record on the next adjourned date before this Court. Only if this Court finds that Respondent has been served, this Court shall consider the present MCA on the next adjourned date, subject to the status of MCA filed by Respondent.*
6. *Prima facie there are certain reasons stated in the MCA and more specifically the grounds enumerated in paragraph No. 10 which relate to the difficulty faced by Applicant Nos. 2, 3 and 4.*
7. *Respondent is directed to remain present before this Court on the next adjourned date, if she is served. This order is to be passed only because one final opportunity is given to Respondent to present in Court, failing which this Court shall consider the present MCA on its own merits and decide the same in accordance with law.*
8. *Another reason for me to pass such an order is that because Respondent has already been duly served on 23.08.2024.*
9. *Stand over to 13th September 2024. To be placed under the caption "**First on Board**".*

3. Today Mr. Kasle appears for Respondent. Both learned Advocates jointly inform the Court that Respondent-wife has filed Misc. Civil Application(for short "MCA") before Aurangabad Bench seeking transfer of the Marriage Petition from the Family Court at Pune to the Family Court at Aurangabad. Stay has been granted by the Court in that MCA and that MCA is presently pending. Today after hearing learned Advocates for the respective parties, I have impressed

upon Mr. Patil, learned Advocate appearing for Applicants that in view of the pendency of the MCA filed by Respondent-wife, I am not inclined to consider the request of Applicants seeking transfer of the D.V. Act Proceedings to Mumbai primarily because of the *lis* between Applicant No. 1 and Respondent who are husband and wife.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicants to take further instructions, stand over to 20th September 2024.

Talwalkar

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

Digitally signed
by RAVINDRA
MOHAN
AMBERKAR
Date:
2024.09.14
10:40:13
+0530