

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2024**

Priyanka Rohan Kadam ... Applicant
versus
Rohan Arun Kadam Respondent

Ms. Aditi Naikare, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Vijay Killedar, Advocate for the Respondent.

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 24th JUNE, 2024.

P.C. :

1. The matter was referred to mediation and mediation is successful. However, in terms of the draft consent terms, both the parties submit that the matter can be kept sine-die for a period of one year and in terms of the consent terms, if the parties are able to reside together for one year, thereafter they would withdraw all proceedings.

2. However, this Court is not inclined to keep the matter pending and dispose of the application with liberty to either of the parties to restore the present application in the event the consent terms are not worked out.

3. Since this is a judicial order passed by the Court, the present order would not mean that the parties have deviated from clause 11 of the consent terms.

SHUBHADA
SHANKAR
KADAM
Digitally
signed by
SHUBHADA
SHANKAR
KADAM
Date:
2024.06.27
17:56:26
+0530

4. The draft consent terms are taken on record and marked "X".
5. With the above observations, the application is disposed of with liberty as above.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)