



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

(SR. NO. 16) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 554 OF 2024

Hanumant Shankar Kumbhar & Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 17) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 555 OF 2024

Ashok Dhodiba Meher & Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 18) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 556 OF 2024

Vivekanand Mallesha Pattedar & Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 19) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 558 OF 2024

Sidheshwar Mahadeo Randive & Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 20) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 559 OF 2024

Sayajirao Baliram Waghmare ...Petitioner
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 21) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 560 OF 2024

Ganesh Shantappa Warshetti & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 22) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 561 OF 2024

Sachin Bhimrao Gore & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 23) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 562 OF 2024

Netaji Bajrand Jadhav & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 24) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 563 OF 2024

Nagendrayya Panchalingyya Hiremath & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 25) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 564 OF 2024

Shrishail Devanand Hele & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

AND
(SR. NO. 26) CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 565 OF 2024

Satyawan Ramachnadra Thokale & Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Pramod A. Kulkarni for Petitioners in all Petitions.
Mr. B.V. Samant, Addl. a/w. Tanu N. Bhatia, AGP for
Respondent/State.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2024

P.C.:

1. All these Petitions are Contempt Petitions in Contempt Petitions. The Petitioners had been to this Court earlier by preferring their Contempt Petitions. These Petitions were disposed off by an Order dated 06/02/2023. The grievance is that there has been further disobedience of the order of this Court and as the salaries are not being paid, the Petitioners had to move this Court by preferring contempt proceedings.

2. We are informed that the Accused/Contemnor is the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Zilla Parishad, Solapur and he has taken charge just one month ago.

3. In view of the above, and to ascertain whether the present CEO can be said to have disobeyed this Court's order,

Husen

issue simple notice to the CEO, Solapur, returnable on **21/11/2024**.

4. Needless to state that, in the *interregnum*, the concerned Respondent is at liberty to comply with the order and ensure that the monthly salaries are paid.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)