



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2024

M/s Saarvi Home Maker

...Applicant

vs.

Mr. Ramdas Shankar Gupta and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Abhinav A. Bhatkar	Advocate for the Applicant
------------------------	----------------------------

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 12th NOVEMBER 2024

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the Plaintiff-Applicant.
2. He has filed suit for cancellation of agreement for sale executed with the Defendants. It is on the ground of non-payment of entire sale consideration. During pendency of the suit, the Respondent No. 2, who claims to be purchaser of the flat has filed an application for impleadment. The trial Court allowed it as per Order dated 01.04.2024. The Plaintiff has challenged this Order.
3. One of the submission made is Respondent No. 2 has not filed any document in support of his contention. In fact trial Court in para no. 5 of the impugned order has referred about registered sale deed in

between the Defendants and the intervenor.

4. Learned Advocate wants to take instruction from the client.
5. Matter kept back at 2.30 pm.

Later on

6. Learned Advocate seeks time to produce certain documents.
7. Matter be kept on **10th December 2024 FIRST ON BOARD.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]