

AGK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.132 OF 2024

Digitally
signed by
ATUL
GANESH
KULKARNI
Date:
2024.12.17
14:48:58
+0530

Raju Dhondiram Akrupe ... Petitioner
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Ms. Anshu Agrawal with Ms. Vaishali Rajkarne for
the petitioner.

Mrs. Neha S. Bhide, Government Pleader with
Mr.O.A. Chandurkar, Additional G.P. and Mrs. G.R.
Raghuwanshi, AGP for respondent Nos.1 & 2-State.

**CORAM : DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ &
AMIT BORKAR, J.**

DATED : DECEMBER 17, 2024

P.C.:

1. A praecipe has been moved by the petitioner for speaking to the minutes of judgment dated 18 November 2024.
2. It has been pointed out that in the last portion of paragraph 8 in place of 'respondent No.3' it should be read 'respondent No.4'; and further in the last portion of the said paragraph the date '21st August 1998' should be substituted with '1st October 1996'.
3. It has also been pointed out that in paragraph 14 (sixth line from top at page 9) in place of 'Project Affected Person.',

it should be 'Earthquake Affected Person.'

4. It is further submitted that in the third line of paragraph 21 of the said judgment in place of 'respondent no.3' it should be corrected as 'respondent No.4'.

5. The aforesaid typographical mistakes which appear to have been crept in the judgment dated 18 November 2024.

6. Accordingly, it is provided that in paragraph 8 of the judgment 'respondent No.3' shall be read as 'respondent No.4'. In the same paragraph the date '21st August 1998' be read as '1st October 1996'; and in paragraph 14 of the judgment, 'Project Affected Person' shall be read as 'Earthquake Affected Person'; and in paragraph 21 'respondent No.3' shall be read as 'respondent No.4'.

7. Let judgment dated 18th November 2024 be corrected accordingly.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)