



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.16773 OF 2024

Archana Anil Matkar

... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

... Respondents.

Mr. Manishankar Deshpande i/by Ms.Sapna Krishnappa, for Petitioner.
Mr. Vikram Grewal with Ms. Sabeena Mahadik and Mr.Sagar Hate, for
Respondent Nos.3 and 4.
Ms. Priyanka B. Chavan, AGP for the Respondent-State.

Mr.Mandar A. Matkar, Respondent No.3, present in-person.
Ms.Shreeya @ Komolika Mandar Matkar, Respondent No.4, present
through video conferencing.
Ms. Kavita Jaywant Waigankar, Respondent No.5, present through video
conferencing.

Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.

Date : December 18, 2024

P. C. :

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submit that in order to give effect to the consent terms, the daughter of the Petitioner is required to be impleaded as formal party. Leave is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. This Court is informed that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. The consent terms which are tendered are taken on record and marked as "X" for identification. The

consent terms are signed by the Petitioner and the Respondent Nos.3 to 5 and also by their respective counsel. Petitioner and Respondents are present in-person and through video conferencing and they reiterated the terms of the consent terms. The parties are identified by their respective counsel. The undertakings given in the consent terms are accepted as undertakings given to this Court.

3. Petition is disposed of in the terms of the consent terms.

[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]