



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO. 16398 OF 2024**

Pralhad Dattatray Jadhav and Others. ...Petitioners.

**Versus**

Surendranath Dattatray Jadhav and Others. ...Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Mr. D. D. Ranaware for the Petitioner.*

*Mr. B. B. Dahiphale, AGP for the Respondent-State.*

**Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.**

**Date : December 4, 2024.**

**P. C. :**

1. Office noting indicates that the notice issued to the Respondent No. 1 is awaited.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that the Respondent No.1 has been served by private notice and affidavit of service will be filed in the Registry during the course of the day.
3. Perusal of the order of 12<sup>th</sup> November 2024 would show that the submissions of learned counsel for the Petitioner was that there was no explanation for condoning the delay of 34 years. He submits that after condoning the said delay, the matter is now fixed before the SDO for hearing tomorrow. Considering that the private notice has been served upon the Respondent No.1 and none appears on his behalf, list

the Petition on **11<sup>th</sup> December 2024** (circulation). As the petition is pending, the SDO is requested to defer the proceedings beyond period of four weeks.

**[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]**