

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.13094 OF 2024

- | | |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Glory English Medium School, |] |
| Upale (Du), Barshi, Solapur |] |
| 2. Mahatma Phule Prathamik School, |] |
| Saudare, Barshi, Solapur |] |
| 3. New Modern School, |] |
| Mhasvad, Maan, Dist. Satara |] |
| 4. New Diamond English Medium School, |] |
| Shripur (Mahalung), Malshiras, Solapur |] |
| 5. Matoshree Bahudeshiya Sevabhavi Sanstha, |] |
| Zhadi, Malegaon, Nashik |] |
| 6. Gorakshanath Prathamik Vidya Mandir, |] |
| Dhondbe, Chandwad, Dist. Nashik |] |
| 7. Little Blossom School, |] |
| Dharangaon, Jalgaon |] |
| 8. Gurukul Pratishthan Savtamali, |] |
| Dharangaon, Jalgaon |] |
| 9. Surya Foundation, Paldhi, Jalgaon |] |
| 10. Khandesh Shikshan Mandal, Amalner |] |
| 11. English Medium (Res.) Public School, |] |
| Kingaon-Dongaon, Yawal, Jalgaon |] |
| 12. Abhinav Gram Vikas Shikshan Sanstha, |] |
| Poral, Jalgaon |] |
| 13. Shreeram Primary English Medium School, |] |
| Shahgaon (R), Barshi, Solapur |] |
| 14. Shubha Saraswati English Medium School, |] |
| Khadakjam, Chandwad, Nashik |] .. Petitioners |

Versus

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Union of India, |] |
| Through School Education & Sports Department |] |
| 2. The State of Maharashtra, |] |
| Through School Education & Sports Department |] |
| 3. The Director of Education, Pune |] |
| 4. The District Education Officer (Primary), Nashik |] |
| 5. The District Education Officer (Primary), Solapur |] .. Respondents |

Mr. Vaibhav V. Ugle, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mrs. Reena A. Salunkhe, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

**CORAM : A.S. CHANDURKAR &
RAJESH S. PATIL, JJ**

DATE : 19TH SEPTEMBER 2024

ORAL JUDGMENT : { Per A.S. Chandurkar, J. }

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith by consent of the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The learned Assistant Government Pleader waives service of notice for respondent nos.2 and 3. Each petitioner claims to have admitted students under the 25% quota available under the provisions of Right to Education Act, 2009. In terms of the Notification dated 15th March 2013, the petitioners seek reimbursement of the relevant amount from respondent nos.2 and 3. Each petitioner claims that representations have been made seeking such reimbursement with respondent nos.2 and 3. The petitioners seek expeditious consideration of those representations.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners to supply a chart indicating the respective dates on which each petitioner has furnished such representations to respondent nos.2 and 3 separately. In accordance with the aforesaid, the respondent nos.2 and 3 shall within a period of three

months from receiving a copy of this order, consider the representations and decide the entitlement of each petitioner in accordance with law. The decision taken be communicated to the petitioners.

4. Points raised on merits in the writ petition are kept open.

5. Rule accordingly. The writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]

[A.S. CHANDURKAR, J.]