

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 12421 OF 2024

Ajaykumar S. Yadav and Ors. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. Respondents

SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM

Digitally signed
by SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM
Date:
2024.09.05
13:51:10
+0530

Mr. N. V. Walawalkar a/w Mr. Suresh M. Sabrad, Mr. Amey C. Sawant, Mr. Pratik Sabrad and Ms. Neha Zanje, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Ms. V. R. Raje, AGP, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 & 8 – State.

Mr. Saket Mone a/w Mr. Devansh Shah i/b Vidhi Partners, Advocate for Respondent No.9.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 4th SEPTEMBER, 2024.

P.C. :

1. Heard.
2. This petition takes exception to order dated 22nd August, 2024. This order is nothing but the decision of the Assistant Charity Commissioner for expeditious hearing and disposal of Report bearing No.6779 of 2023 and 481 of 2024. There could be no reason or

justification to cause any interference in this order, as no prejudice can be said to have been caused to any party by the same.

3. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner however, has drawn attention of this Court to the submissions recorded in the impugned order with regard to the urgency sought to be made out by the Petitioner before Assistant Charity Commissioner indicating need of passing of some orders for better administration of the Trust. It is his submissions that if this order is maintained and not clarified, the Petitioner would lose the right of making any such application and to agitate the issue before the authority.

4. Considering the facts of the case, the petition is disposed of.

5. Needless to say that the parties are at liberty to agitate their grievance before the Assistant Charity Commissioner in accordance with law. Such grievance would also include the urgency sought to be made out by the Petitioner in respect of the administration of the justice.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)