

VASANT
ANANDRAO
IDHOL

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 10125 OF 2024

Digitally signed by
VASANT
ANANDRAO IDHOL
Date: 2024.07.25
19:45:46 +0530

Vidya Hanumant Bhapkar ...Petitioner
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors. ...Respondents

....

Mr.D.V. Sutar with Ms.Anjali S. and Ms.Latika Kabad i/b Mr.Rupesh
A. Zade for the Petitioner.

Mr.Vaibhav Charulwar, A.G.P. "B" Panel Counsel for the Respondent
Nos.1 to 3 – State.

....

CORAM : AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.
DATE : 25th JULY, 2024

P.C.:

1. The petition questions the order dated 11.07.2024, by which the challenge to the no confidence motion, which has been carried against the petitioner, has been rejected. The learned counsel for the petitioner taking exception to the order dated 11.07.2024, submits that since there was no proposer or seconder to the motion of no confidence, the same cannot be sustained.

2. In Tatyasaheb Ramchandra Kale .vs. Navnath Tukaram Kakde, 2014 (6) Mh.L.J. 804, the learned Full Bench of this

Court has rendered an opinion that Rule 17 of Bombay Village Panchayats (Meetings) Rules, 1959 is directory, which would indicate, that the above plea by the learned counsel for the petitioner stands covered by what has been held by Full Bench, in view of which the impugned order does not meet any interference. The petition is dismissed. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)