

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7911 OF 2024

Dattatraya Vitthal Mali And Ors. ...Petitioners
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9082 OF 2024**

Satish Navnath Kale And Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9083 OF 2024**

Mahesh Arun Thorat ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9084 OF 2024**

Nitin Kalyan Kale And Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9085 OF 2024**

Ambu Durgappa Waghmode ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9086 OF 2024**

Subhash Krushnath Kale ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9087 OF 2024**

Nilesh Nitin Thorat And Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9088 OF 2024**

Vikram Ganpat Kokare ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9089 OF 2024**

Sangeeta Dattatraya Arkas And Anr. ...Petitioners
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9090 OF 2024**

Nanasaheb Vittal Kale ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9091 OF 2024**

Mahendra Shankar Wakse ...Petitioner
Versus
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors. ..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9092 OF 2024**

Dattatraya Shahurao Kale And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors.	..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9093 OF 2024**

Shivaji Madadeo Saudane And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors.	..Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9094 OF 2024**

Rajendra Mahadeo Jagtap And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Kishor Vasant Chandole And Ors.	..Respondents

....

Mr.Anvil S. Kalekar for the Petitioners.

Smt.V.S. Nimbalkar, A.G.P. for the Respondent – State.

....

CORAM	:	AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.
DATE	:	1ST JULY 2024

P.C.:

1. The only ground raised is that under the provisio to section 258 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code (MLR Code), the prior sanction of the immediate higher authority has not been

obtained. The said proviso would only be applicable where the Authority before whom the Review Application has been filed under Section 258 of MLR Code, proposes to review his order. The said requirement would not be attracted in case the Authority before whom the review is filed does not intend to review his order. The Authority in the present matter has rejected the application for review, on account of which the proviso would not be applicable.

2. I therefore do not see any reason to interfere. The petitions are dismissed. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)