

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**VASANT
ANANDRAO
IDHOL**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8846 OF 2024

Digitally signed by
VASANT
ANANDRAO
IDHOL

Date: 2024.07.01
20:06:04 +0530

Lakshmi Daulu Patil And Ors.	...Petitioners
Versus	
State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Ors.	...Respondents

....

Mr.Keshav Borhade i/b RK & Co. for the Petitioners.

Smt.VR. Raje, A.G.P. for the Respondent – State.

....

CORAM	:	AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.
DATE	:	1ST JULY 2024

P.C.:

1. Mr.Borhade, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order dated 15.3.2024, (page 23) ignores the position that permission was required to be sought for running a fare price shop by a salesman under the Government Resolution dated 5.1.1993, which still holds the field. It is further contended that illegalities, as found by the Additional Commissioner (Supply) in his order date 22.8.2022 to the effect that the respondent no.5 has himself admitted having issued duplicate receipts, and so also the report of the Enquiry Officer

that 13 out of 14 persons, from whom enquiry was made, have stated that they have received less grains, for which they have filed complaints on 20.4.2024, all have been ignored by respondent no.2 while passing the impugned order dated 15.3.2024, considering which, issue notice for final disposal, returnable on 29.7.2024. The learned AGP waives notice for respondent nos.1 to 4. The petitioners to serve respondent no.5 by all modes permissible in law by the returnable date.

2. By way of *ad-interim* order, the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 15.3.2024 is stayed till the next date.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)