

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(SR. NO. 13) WRIT PETITION NO. 8662 OF 2024

Tushar Dilip Saindane	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 14) WRIT PETITION NO. 8663 OF 2024

Rahul Ganesh Chaudhari	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 15) WRIT PETITION NO. 8664 OF 2024

Rohit Nago Dhangar	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 16) WRIT PETITION NO. 8665 OF 2024

Sanjay Ananda More	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 17) WRIT PETITION NO. 8666 OF 2024

Sandip S/o Shravan Wankhede	...Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	...Respondents

SNEHA
NITIN
CHAVAN

Digitally signed
by SNEHA
NITIN CHAVAN
Date: 2024.08.09
16:05:17 +0530

AND

(SR. NO. 18) WRIT PETITION NO. 8667 OF 2024

Valmik Raghunath Chaudhari ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 19) WRIT PETITION NO. 8668 OF 2024

Ashok Siravan Vinchu ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 20) WRIT PETITION NO. 8669 OF 2024

Sandeep Sureshrao Kamble ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

AND

(SR. NO. 21) WRIT PETITION NO. 8670 OF 2024

Vinod Sureshrao Kamble ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Nitin Patil for the Petitioner in all petitions.

Mr.A.C.Bhadang, AGP for the Respondent/State in Writ Petition Nos. 8662/2024, WP/8664/2024, WP/8665/2024,WP/8666/2024, WP/8667/2024, WP/8668/2024, WP/8670/2024.

Ms.N.M. Mehra, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/8663/2024

Ms. Pooja Deshpande-Joshi, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/8669/2024

Mr. Ashwin Kapadnis for Respondent Nos. 3 and 5/Zilla Parishad.

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR AND
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE : 8 AUGUST 2024

P.C. :

. The learned counsel for the Petitioners has relied upon the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court (Aurangabad Bench) on 21 June 2024 in a group of petitions which we have referred to in the order dated 24 June 2024.

2. The learned counsel for the Petitioners states that the present Petitioners have identical facts as in the petitions before Division Bench (Aurangabad Bench) in which the Division Bench has set aside the order of termination and have reinstated all the Petitioners therein. The learned counsel for the Petitioners states that a statement was made on behalf of the State Government, which is recorded in the order, that none of the Petitioners therein were supplied with the Investigation report and the Division Bench has found fault with the action of the Government on that count and has directed reinstatement.

3. The learned AGP seeks time to take instructions as to whether the cases of the present Petitioners are identical to the ones before the Division Bench, as contended. Stand over to **14 August 2024**.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)