

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 8453 OF 2024**

Sushil Bansi Jadhav and anr. ... Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Suraj Naik, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. V. R. Raje, AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4/State.
Mr. Amit Sharma along with Ms. Chaitali Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.
DATED : 27th SEPTEMBER, 2024.**

P.C. :

1. Mr.Sharma, learned counsel submits that he has made statement before this Court as instructed by respondent No.2.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners also fairly informs the Court that on 23rd July 2024, there was some confusion in respect of instructions given by respondent No.2 to her lawyer and which might have resulted in recording of the statement as it appears in the said order.
3. Mr. Sharma, learned counsel, states that he will be more careful henceforth while making statement.
4. This Court finds that there is no deliberate/intentional act on the part of the learned advocate in making the said statement. Hence, no further action is required in the matter.
5. Stand over to 4th October 2024.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)