

VASANT
ANANDRAO
IDHOL

Digitally signed by
VASANT
ANANDRAO IDHOL
Date: 2024.06.14
19:23:17 +0530

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8443 OF 2024

Prashant Mehta And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Niket Mehta And Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8444 OF 2024**

Prashant Mehta And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Sushila Mehta And Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8451 OF 2024**

Prashant Mehta And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Sushila Mehta And Ors.	...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8456 OF 2024**

Prashant Mehta And Anr.	...Petitioners
Versus	
Sushila Mehta And Ors.	...Respondents

....

Md.Ankita Singhania (on V.C.) with Mr.Abhishek Prabhu i/b.
Adv.Mohammed Asim for the Petitioners.

Mr.Jamsheed Master with Adv.Aniket Warlikar for Respondent
No.1.

Mr.PG. Sawant, A.G.P for the Respondent – State in WP No.8456
of 2024 .

Ms.A.A. Nadkarni, AGP for the Respondent – State in WP Nos.8443 of 2024, 8444 of 2024 and 8451 of 2024.

....

CORAM : AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.
DATE : 14TH JUNE 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard Ms.Singhania, learned counsel for the petitioners. Mr.Jamsheed Master appears for respondent no.1. Learned AGP appears for the respondent – State.

2. All these petitions question the common order dated 10.6.2024 passed by the Charity Commissioner, Mumbai on an application filed by the present applicants / petitioners seeking time to file reply to the appeal whereby the same has been rejected. There is no provision in law which permits the respondents to file reply to the appeal, as the appeal has to be decided on the basis of the grounds raised therein. Admittedly there are no interim applications which would call for reply. Considering which I am not inclined to interfere in the impugned orders. The petitions are dismissed.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)