

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**WRIT PETITION NO. 6632 OF 2024.**

Jayesh Prabhakar Rai and Others.

...Petitioners.

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and Others.

...Respondents.

---

*Mr. S. R. Nargolkar i/b Mr. Santosh Patil and Ms. Shraddha N. Kadam for the  
Petitioner.*

*Mr. Y. D. Patil, AGP for Respondent-State.*

---

**Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.**

**Date : December 2, 2024.**

**P. C. :**

1. The contentions of the learned AGP is that what was levied by clause 4 of the impugned order is tax or fine as contemplated by Section 114 of the Maharashtra Prohibition Act, 1949 and not the interest. He, however seeks time to address this Court on the statutory provisions which contemplates the levy of tax or fine for the period during which the license has not been renewed.

2. At his request, stand over to **6th December, 2024.**

**[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]**