

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(6) WRIT PETITION NO. 5681 OF 2024

Niket Vishnu Malvade

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra and Ors.

... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5696 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 794 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5698 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4256 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4255 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5781 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5783 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6107 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5664 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5662 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5898 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5900 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8965 OF 2024
WITH

**WRIT PETITION NO. 8938 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9347 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9461 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9980 OF 2024**

Mr. C.G. Gavnekar a/w. Mr. Ashutosh Gavnekar, Mr. Rohit Parab for the
Petitioners

Ms Anjali Helekar for the Respondent-AICTE

Ms Divya Wadekar i/b. Mr. Avinash Jalisatgi for the Respondent No. 6

Mr. Milind Deshmukh a/w. Mr. Onkar Wabale for the Respondent-
Managment.

Ms Pooja Joshi, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/9461/2024

Mr. S. H. Kankal, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/9980/2024

Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/8938/2024

Ms. N. M. Mehra, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/9347/2024

Ms Reena Salunkhe, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/8965/2024

Ms A. A. Purav, AGP for the Respondetn-State in WP/5783/2024

(7)WRIT PETITION NO. 9263 OF 2024

Usharani Vikram Karande

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra And Ors.

...Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9286 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9264 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9303 OF 2024
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9304 OF 2024
WITH**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9408 OF 2024

Mr. C.G. Gavnekar a/w. Mr. Ashutosh Gavnekar, Mr. Rohit Parab for the
Petitioners

Ms Anjali Helekar for the Respondent-AICTE

Mr. R.A. Salunkhe, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/9263/2024.

Ms A. A. Purav, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/9286/2024

Mr. M.M. Pabale, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/9264/2024.

Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/9303/2024.

Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent-State in WP/9404/2024

Mr. S. H. Kankal, AGP for Respondent – State in WP/9408/2024.

**WITH
(8) WRIT PETITION NO. 9979 OF 2024**

Rajan Jagannath Devi

....Petitioner

V/S

State Of Maharashtra And Ors

....Respondents

Mr. C.G. Gavnekar a/w. Mr. Ashutosh Gavnekar, Mr. Rohit Parab for the
Petitioner

Ms Anjali Helekar for the Respondent-AICTE

Mr. M. M. Pabale, AGP for the Respondent-State

(132) WRIT PETITION NO. 10711 OF 2024

Ashok Shrirang Nalawade

....Petitioner

V/S

The State Of Maharashtra And Ors

....Respondents

Mr. C.G. Gavnekar a/w. Mr. Ashutosh Gavnekar, Mr. Rohit Parab for the
Petitioner

Ms Anjali Helekar for the Respondent-AICTE

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M. M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATED : 12 AUGUST 2024

P.C.:

1. We have perused the mediation report. The learned Mediator has recorded that initially the Respondent-Management had shown positive approach and therefore the mediation was adjourned on four occasions. Thereafter, the Respondent - Management took a non-cooperative stand that the Secretary is not available and therefore the Mediator has recorded that the mediation has failed.

2. The Petitioners have sought monetary reliefs from the Respondent-Management. If the relief as sought for by the Petitioners is granted, there would be a financial burden on the Respondent-Management and therefore, the mediation was proposed to work out installments, if any. However, in view of the fact that the Respondent-Management had shown no interest in the mediation, the Petitions will have to be worked out on merits. If the Petitioners do not succeed, there will not be any monetary liability. If they succeed, considering the conduct of the Respondent-Management that despite there being an opportunity, has not given any offer of settlement, the Court may consider refusing to grant any installments.

3. Reply of the Respondents to be filed before the next date. The Respondents may choose to file reply in one of the Petitions and adopt

the same in other Petitions by way of praecipe. Reply of the State Government also to be filed before the next date.

4. Learned Counsel for the Respondent-Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Technological University states that the Vakalatnama and reply will be filed in the Petitions where it has remained to be filed. Learned Counsel for the Respondent-AICTE states that reply will be filed in one Petition and the same would be adopted in other Petitions unless separate reply is required.

5. Writ Petition No. 5681 of 2024 is taken as lead Petition in this group where all the replies and rejoinders are to be filed.

6. Stand over to **27 August 2024**.

7. Learned Counsels for the parties states that Writ Petition No. 9461 of 2024 and Writ Petition No 9286 of 2024 are wrongly tagged. They be separated and listed as per the assignment.

(M. M. SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)