

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3162 OF 2024

Vajreshwari S. Annaldasula ...Petitioner
Versus
State Of Maharashtra
Thr. The Secretary Education
Department And Ors. ...Respondents

...
Mr. Ramesh Ramamurthy a/w Mr. Saikumar Ramamurthy,
Advocate for the Petitioner.
Ms. Reena Salunkhe, AGP, for the Respondent No.1.
Mr. Ravi Shetty, Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Ishwar Nankani &
Huzefa Khokhawala i/b. Nankani & Associates for Respondent
Nos.2 and 3.
Mr. Jagdish Choudhary i/b. M/s Raj Legal for Respondent No.5.
...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
M.M. SATHAYE, JJ.**

DATE :- 15th OCTOBER, 2024

Per Court :-

1. The thrust of the Petitioner's case is that in her complaint of sexual harassment against Respondent No.5, the inquiry was conducted on two dates and was closed. Thereafter, the report was furnished for closing the complaint. This reveals that statement of 13 witnesses, which were referred to in the report, were not recorded in the presence of the Petitioner during

Pallavi Warganekar, PS

the inquiry. The contention therefore, is that they were examined after closing inquiry and in the absence of the Petitioner.

2. Since we are looking at this primary contention, we would expect the learned Advocate representing Respondent Nos.2 and 3, to apprise the Court as regards the dates on which the inquiry was conducted and the dates on which these 13 witnesses were examined, as also, whether they were examined in presence of the Petitioner.

3. List this Petition on 22nd October, 2024 in the passing orders category.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)