

UDAY
SHIVAJI
JAGTAP

Digitally
signed by
UDAY
SHIVAJI
JAGTAP
Date:
2024.08.28
19:19:34
+0530

Uday S. Jagtap

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2045 OF 2024

RVS Global Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

.. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2730 OF 2016

Arunachal Pradesh Industrial &
Financial Development Corporation Ltd.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The City and Industrial Development
Corporation of Maharashtra
Ltd. (CIDCO) & Ors.

.. Respondents

....

Mr. Subhash Jha a/w Mr. Samir Vaidya, Mr. Siddhant Jha,
Ms. Apeksha Sharma, Ms. Neha Balani, Mr. Sumeet Upadhyay,
Mr. Deepesh Shahani i/b Law Global Advocates for the
petitioner in WP 2045/2024

Mr. S.S. Kanetkar for the petitioner in WP 2730/2016

Ms. Savita Nangare a/w Mr. Vinod Nagula, Mr. A.R. Bamne i/b
M/s. A.R. Bamne & Co. for the respondent no.3 in WP
2045/2024

Mr. Rahul Sinha a/w Mr. Soham Bhalerao i/b DSK Legal for the
respondent no.1 in WP 2730/2016

....

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.J.

DATE : 28th AUGUST, 2024.

P.C.

1. On 28th August, 2024, we passed the following order;

“ The aforesaid petition has been placed before us pursuant to the administrative order passed by the Hon’ble the Chief Justice, on 13th August, 2024. In view of the administrative order, Mr. Jha mentioned the matter at 4.30 p.m. yesterday, pursuant to which, we granted circulation for today.

2. It appears that the petition is pending in this Court since February, 2024, for about six months. The last order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court (Coram: K.R. SHRIRAM & JITENDRA JAIN, JJ.) on 12th August, 2024. The same reads thus:

“1. Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.

2. Mr. Jha is insisting the Court to take up the matter urgently though it is scheduled to be listed on 16th August, 2024. Since the court is preoccupied with other work, petitioner may move the Hon’ble The Chief Justice on the administrative side to assign the matter to another bench”.

3. The matter was scheduled to be listed on 16th August, 2024, despite the same, the learned Counsel

moved a praecipe before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, for listing it before another bench. We do not find any urgency to hear the petition today, particularly, when we are hearing matters relating to the liberty of the individuals i.e bails, confirmation cases and appeals where the accused are in jail for more than ten years.

4. Since, there is no urgency, list the petition on 28th August, 2024”.

2. Today, learned Counsel appearing for the respondent no.3 states that the arguing Counsel Mr. Ashish Kamat is on his legs before the co-ordinate bench of this Court and as such seeks an adjournment.

3. Mr. Jha, learned Counsel for the petitioner vehemently opposes the adjournment. He states that initially the matter was kept back in the first session and that now in the second session, an adjournment is sought. He submits that the matter has time and again been adjourned on some pretext or the other.

4. Since Mr. Jha is insisting that the matter be taken up on urgent basis today, which is not possible, in view of the difficulty expressed by the learned Counsel appearing for respondent no.3 and also during this week as requested by Mr. Jha, the petition be removed from board.

5. Not before our Bench.

(PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.) (REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)