

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 871 OF 2023

Shashikant Tulshiram Khamkar	..	Appellant
Versus		
State of Maharashtra	..	Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 311 OF 2024**

Kiran Viththal Vaidya	..	Appellant
Versus		
State of Maharashtra	..	Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 116 OF 2024**

Sudhir Sahdev Shिवतarkar	..	Appellant
Versus		
State of Maharashtra	..	Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 125 OF 2024**

Dilip Humraj Deshmukh	..	Appellant
Versus		
State of Maharashtra	..	Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 117 OF 2024**

Ghanshyam Sakharām Kolambe	..	Appellant
Versus		
State of Maharashtra	..	Respondent

...

Mr. Vishal V. Rankhambe, for the Appellants in Criminal Appeal Nos. 871/2023, 116/2024, 125/2024 and 117/2024.

Mr. Sandeep Karnik, for the Appellant in Appeal No. 311/2024.
Mr. S. V. Gavand, APP, for the Respondent- State.

...
**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**
DATED : 30th AUGUST, 2024

P.C:-

1. A praecipe has been filed by the learned counsel for the Appellant for Speaking to the Minutes of the order dated 22.08.2024.

2. In Sub-paragraph Nos. 2 and 3 on Page No. 4 of the said order, the following portion be corrected by substituting only the following bold numbers, as under:

*“Statements of various witnesses assigning the role to the accused were recorded and in one set of witnesses, there is reference to Accused No. 1 Kiran Vaidya, whereas, there are **9** witnesses who have specifically referred to Kishor Kondhalkar, **20** witnesses who have given reference of Ghanshyam Kolambe. As far as Shashikant Khamkar is concerned, **31** witnesses, the investors, have taken his name, as to it is on his presentation, they had invested the money.*

*Some **28** witnesses have referred to Sudhir Shivtarkar, and Mr. Gavand, learned APP has placed before us a chart, which is prepared on the basis of the material compiled in the charge-sheet and from this chart it is evident that, each and every accused has been attributed a specific role by either of the investor or multiple investors, who have invested the money in the scheme.”*

3. The order stands corrected accordingly. The praecipe stands disposed off.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)