

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3086 OF 2024

Maharashtra State Electricity Petitioner
Distribution Company Limited

Versus

Suhasini D. Naik Respondent

Digitally signed
by SHANTANU
SHANKARSA
DHUDUM
Date:
2024.08.19
14:40:47 +0530

None for the Petitioner.
Gaurav Lele, Advocate for the Respondent.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 13th AUGUST, 2024.

P.C. :

1. None for the Petitioner.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the Respondent makes statement that on the last date of hearing learned counsel for the Petitioner had sought time to clarify the different number of meter in two different report relied upon by the CGRA. It was wrongly recorded that the counsel for the Respondent had sought time to clarify the same.

3. Absence of the Petitioner indicates that the Petitioner is not interested in clarifying the said position.
4. Close for the order.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)